# **On Farm Testing (OFTS)**

# 1. Title: Assessment of new gram variety (Gujarat Junagadh Gram-6) variety

Problem: Farmer use low yielded oldest variety.

Causes:

- Lack of information about newly available variety as well as oldest variety disease susceptible.
- Lack of interest in adoption of new variety

## **Problem Cause Diagram**



#### Treatments:

T1:	Farmer practices (Control): Sowing of Locally available variety (GJG-3) farmers
T2:	Use of Improved variety of Chick pea (GJG-6)
Т3:	Use of Improved variety of chick pea Phule Vikram

## Source of technology (T2): JAU, Junagadh, (T3): MPKV, Rahuri

#### **Technical Indicator:**

1. 100 grain weight, 2. Plant height (cm) 3. Yield (q/ha) 4. No of branches

**Economic Indicator:** 

1. Cost of production, 2. Gross return (Rs/ha), 3. Net Return (Rs/ha), 4. B:C ratio

## Cost:

T1:	seed of locally available variety, 5600 per hector
	(Total cost about ₹5600/ha X 2 ha = ₹11200/-)
T2:	Seed of Improved variety of Chick pea 7500 per hector
	(Total cost about ₹ 7500/ha X 1 ha = ₹7500/-)
Т3:	Seed of phule vikram variety 7500 per hector
	(Total cost about ₹7500/ha X 1 ha = ₹7500/-)

No. of Farmers: 05Experimental Size: 1 AcreDuration of Experiments: 3 Year

# 2. Title: Assessment of new Green gram variety (Gujarat Moong - 6 ) variety

Problem: Farmers use oldest variety GM-2/4 some long time.

Causes:

- Lack of information about recommendation of new green gram varieties.
- Old variety having low yield potential and disease and pest susceptible

#### Problem Cause Diagram



#### Treatments:

T1:	Farmer practices (Control): Locally available green gram variety (GM 2/4)
T2:	Use of new improved variety of Green gram (GM-6)
т3:	Use of new improved variety of Gujarat Anand Moong 5 (GAM-5)

## Source of technology (T2): SDAU, Dantiwada, (T3): AAU, Anand

#### **Technical Indicator:**

1. No of Pods, 2. Plant Height, 3. Yield (q/ha), 4. No of branches 5. Test weight

## **Economic Indicator:**

1. Cost of production, 2. Gross return (Rs/ha), 3. Net Return (Rs/ha), 4. B:C ratio

#### Cost:

T1:	Green gram variety GM-2/4 seed rate 25 kg/ha; @ ₹ 100/-/Kg
	(Total cost about ₹2500/-/ha X 2 ha = ₹ 2000/-)
T2:	New Green gram variety (GM-6), seed rate 25 kg/ha; @ ₹ 140/-/kg
	(Total cost about ₹3500/-/ha X 1 ha = ₹ 3500/-)
Т3:	New green gram variety (GAM-5), seed rate 25 kg/ha; @ ₹ 140/-/kg
	(Total cost about ₹3500/-/ha X 1 ha = ₹ 3500/-)
No. of Farmers : 05	
Ехре	rimental Size : 1 Acre

Duration of Experiments : 3 Year

#### 3. Title: Management of mango hopper

Problem: Heavy incidence of mango hopper

#### Causes:

- Improper use of pesticides
- Lack of application of bio pesticides



## Treatments:

T1:	Farmer's Practices: - Improper use of pesticides; not follow the recommendation	
T2:	Two sprays of Thiamethoxam 25% WG (3.36 g/10 lit) or Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (2.80 ml/10 lit).	
	First spray at flowering and second spray at 21 days after first spray	
Т3:	Two sprays of Azadirachtin 1500 ppm (50 ml+10 g. Washing powder / 10 lit. water) First spray	
	at ETL (5 hopper/inflorance) and second at 10 days after first spray	

## Source of technology (T2) NAU, Navsari (T3): AAU, Anand

Technical Indicator: 1. Pest infestation (%) 2. Yield (q/ha)

Economic Indicator: 1. Cost of Production (Rs/ha), 2. Gross return: (Rs/ha), 3. Net return: (Rs/ha), 4.

B:C Ratio

## Cost:

T1:	Farmer's Practices: - Improper use of pesticides	
T2:	Two <b>s</b> prays of Thiamethoxam 25% WG (3.36 g/10 lit) @ 210 gm/625 lit water per acre @₹400/- Or Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (2.80 ml/10 lit) @ 175 ml/625 lit water per acre @₹440/ First spray at flowering and second spray at 21 days after first spray ( <b>Total cost about ₹840/-/acre X 2.5 acre = ₹2100/-)</b>	
Т3:	Two sprays of Azadirachtin 1500 ppm (50 ml+10 g. Washing powder / 10 lit. Water) @ 6.24lit /1250 lit water per acre @₹3300/- First spray at ETL (5 hopper/inflorance) and second at 10 days after first spray. <b>(Total cost about ₹3300/-/acre X 2.5 acre = ₹8250/-)</b>	

No. of Farmers: 05Experimental Plot Size: 1 AcreDuration: 3 year

#### 4. Title: Management of white grub in groundnut

Problem: Infestation of white grub in groundnut

#### Causes:

- Lack of seed treatment
- Lack of pre application of pesticides



#### Treatments:

T1:	Injudicious use of pesticides. Use of chlorpyriphos, quinalphos, clothianidine, imidacloprid+ Fipronil,
	Thiamethoxam after infestation of white grub as post application.
T2:	Recommended dose of Pesticide as Chlorpyriphos or Quinalphos @ 25 ml/kg seed. Drenching of
	Chlorpyriphos or Quinalphos @ 4 lit/ha as initiation of pest incidence
Т3:	Application of ready mix combination of Imidacloprid 40% + Fipronil 40% WG@ 2.5 g/kg seed.
	Drenching of ready mix combination of Imidacloprid 40% + Fipronil 40% WG @ 250 g/ha as initiation
	of pest incidence

## Source of technology: Junagadh Agricultural University

## **Technical Indicator:**

1. Record no. of grub per 1 meter row length. 2. Yield (q/ha) **Economic Indicator:** 

1. Cost of Production (Rs/ha), 2. Gross return: (Rs/ha), 3. Net return: (Rs/ha), 4. B:C Ratio

## Cost:

T1:	Injudicious use of pesticides.use of chlorpyriphos, quinalphos, clothianidine, imidacloprid+ Fipronil, Thiamethoxam after infestation of white grub as post application.		
T2:	Chlorpyriphos or Quinalphos @ 25 ml/kg seed per acre 1.25 lit @ ₹500/- and Chlorpyriphos or Quinalphos @ 1.6 lit/acre @ ₹640/-		
	(Total cost about ₹1140/-/acre X 2.5 acre = ₹2850-)		
T3: Imidacloprid 40% + Fipronil 40% WG @ 2.5 g/kg seed per acre 125 gm @ ₹2000/-			
	Imidacloprid 40% + Fipronil 40% WG @ 100 g/acre @ ₹1600/-		
(Total cost about ₹3600/-/acre X 2.5 acre = ₹9000/-)			
No. of Farmers : 05			
Experimental Plot Size : 1 Acre			
Duration : 3 year			

# 5. Refinements superior technology against nematode management in onion

Problem: Yield losses due to Nematode problem.

Causes:

- Lack of information about newly available technology.
- Lake of interest in adoption of new technology.

## Problem Cause Diagram



# Treatments:

	T1:	Farmer practices (Control): No use of pseudomonas luorescens and Trichoderma and EPN
ſ	T2: Use of organic formulation containing Pseudomonas luorescens & Trichoderma	
		harzianum has to be sprayed on the plants at regular intervals of 30 days
	Т3:	Use of EPN 2.5 kg/ha

Source of technology (T2): IIHR, Bangalore, (T3): IARI, New Delhi

Technical Indicator: 1. No of Infested plant per sqm. 2. Bulb diameter 3. Yield (q/ha)

Economic Indicator: 1. Cost of production, 2. Gross return (Rs/ha),

3. Net Return (Rs/ha), 4. B:C ratio

## Cost:

T1:	Farmer Practices: Only use of chemical (carbofuran 3G)			
	(Total cost about Rs.1000/ha X 2 ha = Rs.2000/-)			
T2:	Use of organic formulation containing Pseudomonas luorescens & Trichoderma harzianu to be sprayed on the plants at regular intervals of 30 days at a dosage of 5g/ lit or 5ml/ lit (Total cost about Rs. 1000/ha X 1 ha = Rs.1000/-)			
тз:	Use of EPN 2.5 kg/ha			
	(Total cost about Rs.3300/ha X 1 ha = Rs.3300/-)			

No. of Farmers : 05

Experimental plot Size : 1 Acre

Duration of Experiments : 3 Year

# 6. Title: Assessment of Bio fertilizer (Azospirilium and PSB) in onion for better production

Problem: No use of Bio fertilizer, Indiscriminate and excess use of chemical fertilizer

#### Causes:

- Lack of information about recommendation.
- Over use of chemical fertilizer



## Treatments:

T1:	Farmer practices (Control): chemical fertilizer application	
T2:	Application of RDF (75:60:50:25 NPKS kg/ha on soil test bases	
Т3:	75 % NP with use of Bio fertilizer (Azospirilum and PSB 2.5 kg per ha. Each)	

Source of technology (T2) & (T3): DOGR, Rajgurunagar (2016)

Technical Indicator: 1. Bulb diameter 2. Yield (q/ha)

Economic Indicator: 1. Cost of production, 2. Gross return (Rs/ha),

3. Net Return (Rs/ha), 4. B:C ratio

## Cost:

- T1: chemical fertilizer application (Total cost about Rs 5000/-/ha X 2 ha = Rs. 10000/-)
- T2: Application of RDF (75:60:50:25 NPKS kg/ha on soil test bases

(Total cost about Rs.9000/-/ha X 1 ha = Rs. 9000/-)

**T3:** 75 % NP with use of Bio fertilizer

(Total cost about Rs.9800/-/ha X 1 ha = Rs. 9800/-)

No. of Farmers	: 05
Experimental Plot Size	: 1 Acre
Duration	: 3 year

# 7. Title: Effect of Micronutrient application in chickpea

Problem: Deterioration in quality due to micronutrient deficiency in Chickpea

Causes:

- Lack of knowledge about micronutrient deficiency symptoms.
- Less knowledge about application of Micronutrient fertilizers.

## Problem Cause Diagram



# Treatments:

T1:	Farmer practices basal application of 150 kg DAP and foliar application of 50 kg Urea 25 DAS
T2:	Application of multi-micronutrient mixture Grade-V @ 40 kg/ha besides recommended dose of fertilizer (20:40:0 N:P2O5:K2O kg/ha)
Т3:	Application of 1% foliar spray of Government notified multi-micronutrient mixture either Grade II (Fe: 6.0, Mn: 1.0, Zn: 4.0, Cu: 0.3 and B: 0.5 per cent) or Grade I (Fe: 2.0, Mn: 0.5, Zn: 4.0, Cu: 0.3 and B: 0.5 per cent) at 30, 45 and 60 days after sowing along with 20 kg N and 40 kg P2O5 /ha as basal

Source of technology (T2): JAU, Junagadh (2021), (T3): AAU, Anand (2021)

Technical Indicator: 1. 100 grain weight, 2. Yield (q/ha.)

Economic Indicator: 1. Cost of Production (Rs/ha), 2. Gross return: (Rs/ha), 3. Net return:

(Rs/ha), 4. B:C Ratio

Cost:

T1:	50 kg Urea @ Rs. 350	)/- and 150 kg DAP @ Rs. 4350/-/ha	
	(Total cost about Rs.	4700-/ha X 2 ha = Rs.9400/-)	
T2:	40 kg multi-micronut	rient mixture Grade-V @ Rs. 3200/-	
	(Total cost about Rs.	3200/-/ha X 1 ha = Rs.3200/-)	
Т3:	11.25 kg multi-micro	nutrient mixture either Grade II or I @ Rs. 4500/-	
	(Total cost about Rs. 4500/-/ha X1 ha = Rs. 4500/-)		
No. of Farmers		: 05	
Experimental Plot Size		: 1 Acre	

Duration : 3 year

8. Title: Assessment of Liquid Bio-fertilizer consortia (NPK) for nutritional management in Soybean

**Problem:** Indiscriminate and excess uses of chemical fertilizers. **Causes:** 

- Less production due to no use of Bio-fertilizers
- Lack of knowledge about benefits of bio-fertilizers

#### 1. Problem Cause Diagram



#### **Treatments:**

T1:	Farmer practices basal application of 150 kg DAP and 50 kg Urea.
T2:	Application of 100 % RDF(N: P2O5- K2O; 30:60:00 kg ha-1 )+ No use of bio-fertilizers
Т3:	Application of 75% RDF(N: P2O5- K2O; 22.5:45:00 kg ha-1 )+ Seed treatment with NPK consortia (10 ml/kg seed)

#### Source of technology: IISR (2015)

Technical Indicator: 1. No of Pods/plant, 2. Yield (q/ha.)

Economic Indicator: 1.Cost of Production (Rs/ha), 2. Gross return: (Rs/ha), 3. Net return: (Rs/ha), 4.

**B:C** Ratio

#### Cost:

T1:	50 kg Urea @ Rs. 300/- and 150 kg DAP @ Rs. 4350/-/ha	
	(Total cost about Rs.4650/-/ha X 2 ha = Rs.9300/-)	
T2:	65 kg Urea @ Rs. 390/- + 375 kg SSP @ Rs. 3225/-/ha	
	(Total cost about Rs. 3615/-/ha X 1 ha = Rs.3615/-)	
Т3:	49 kg Urea @ Rs. 294/- & 281 kg SSP @ Rs. 2417/-/ha + NPK consortia @ Rs. 120/-	
	(Total cost about Rs. 2831/-/ha X 1 ha = Rs.2831/-)	

No. of Farmers: 05Experimental Size: 1 AcreDuration of Experiments: 3 years

# 9. Title Assessment on different varieties of oyster mushroom cultivation

Problem: Lack of knowledge about various type of oyster mushroom

Causes: Not aware about the variety





## Treatments:

T1:	Farmers' practice: Dhingari mushroom
T2:	Recommendation practice: Sajor Kaju (Grey)
Т3:	Recommendation Practice: Sajor Kaju (Blue)

Source of technology: DMR, Solan

**Observation:** - 1. Production kg/bag 2. Duration/days 3. B:C ratio

Total Cost : 4500/-

No. of farm women : 05

Duration : 3 years

# 10. Assessment of dry heat treatment in improving the shelf life of pearl millet flour

Problem: Bajra flour turns bitter & rancid during storage

Causes: Lack of knowledge about blanching & dry heat method

# Problem Cause Diagram



# Treatments:

T1:	Farmers' practice – Direct milling of Bajra flour	
T2:	Dry heat treatment before milling(oven for 2 hours)	
Т3:	Blanching of seeds before milling	

**Source of technology:** CCS, Haryana Agriculture University, Hisar, MPKV, Rahuri **Parameters to be studied:** 1.Increase in shelf life (Days), 2.Overall Acceptability (%)

Total Cost	<b>:₹</b> 1500
No. of farm women	:10
Duration	: 3 year